



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

IK Investment Partners





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
6	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	nisational Overview Principle							le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
00 TG		8	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
00 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
00 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	8	n/a							✓
80 OO	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓
00 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	8	n/a							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
00 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	8	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	✓	Public							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	✓	Public							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓
00 INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
00 INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a	Ш						✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrate	gy and Governance			Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	1	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Public							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Public							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Public							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	8	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Public				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Public	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct – Private Equity							cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
PE 01	Description of approach to RI	✓	Public	✓					✓	
PE 02	Investment guidelines and RI	✓	Public		✓					
PE 03	Fund placement documents and RI	✓	Public	✓			✓		✓	
PE 04	Formal commitments to RI	✓	Public				✓			
PE 05	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
PE 06	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Public	✓		✓				
PE 07	Encouraging improvements in investees	✓	Public	✓	✓					
PE 08	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Public	✓						
PE 09	Proportion of companies monitored on their ESG performance	✓	Public		✓					
PE 10	Proportion of portfolio companies with sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓					
PE 11	Actions taken by portfolio companies to incorporate ESG issues into operations	✓	Public		✓					
PE 12	Type and frequency of reports received from portfolio companies	✓	Public		✓	✓				
PE 13	Disclosure of ESG issues in pre-exit	✓	Public		✓					
PE 14	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Public	✓	✓					
PE 15	Examples of ESG issues that affected your PE investments	✓	Public	✓	✓					
PE 16	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	✓	Public						✓	
PE End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures							Principle					
Indicator	Short description Status Disclosure						4	5	6			
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures ✓ Public									✓		
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓		
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓		
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓		
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-									

IK Investment Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Basic information

OO 01 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
○ 0%
○ <10%
O 10-50%
• >50%
© 0%
O <10%
O 10-50%
○ >50%
o 0%
○ <10%
O 10-50%
○ >50%

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

☐ Hedge funds

☐ Fund of hedge funds

00 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

IK Investment Partners ("IK") is a Pan-European private equity firm focused on investments in the Nordics, DACH region, France, and Benelux. Since 1989, IK has raised €10 billion of capital and invested in over 125 European companies. IK funds support companies with strong underlying potential, partnering with management teams and investors to create robust, well-positioned businesses with excellent long-term prospects. For more information, visit www.ikinvest.com

OO 02 Mandatory Public Peering General

00 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United Kingdom



Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

Indicate the number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FIE

Additional information. [Optional]

IK has advisory offices in Amsterdam, Copenhagen, Hamburg, London, Paris and Stockholm and fund administration office in Luxembourg.

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

○ Yes

No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		6	640	000	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		7	319	280	614

☐ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process



00 04.4

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

00 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	100	0



Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

O as broad ranges

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

○ Yes

No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- O Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09 Mandatory Public Peering General

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

100



	Emerging Markets
0	
	Frontier Markets
0	
	Other Markets
0	
	Total 100%
100%	
OO 09.2	Additional information. [Optional]

IK Funds focus on small and mid cap investments in the Nordics, DACH, France and Benelux.

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

00 11	Mar	ndatory	Public	Gateway	General
00	11.1	Select the internally managed asset investment decisions and/or your ac			
		Private equity			
(We add	dress ESG incorporation.			
() We do	not do ESG incorporation.			
00 12	Mar	ndatory	Public	Gateway	General
00	12.1	Below are all applicable modules or report (asset classes representing 1 Those which are voluntary to report	10% or more of you	ir AUM) are already ticked and re	
		Core modules			
	☑ Organi	sational Overview			
	☑ Strateg	y and Governance			
		RI implementation directly or via s	service providers		

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

☑ Private Equity

Closing module

☑ Closing module

-						
_	$\alpha \alpha$	rın	М О	ues		ne
	CC		чч	uce	JUI	шэ

OO PE 01 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

00 PE 01.1 Provide a breakdown of your organisation's internally managed private equity investments by investment strategy.



Investment strategy	Percentage of your internally managed private equity holdings (in terms of AUM)
Venture capital	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Growth capital	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
(Leveraged) buy-out	>50%10-50%<10%0%
Distressed/Turnaround/Special Situations	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Secondaries	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Other investment strategy, specify (1)	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Other investment strategy, specify (2)	>50%10-50%<10%● 0%
Total 100%	

OO PE 02	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
				ı e



OO PE 02.1

Indicate the level of ownership you typically hold in your private equity investments.

- ⊕ a majority stake (>50%)
- 50% stake
- O a significant minority stake (between 10-50%)
- a minority stake (<10%)
- O a mix of ownership stakes

OO PE 02.2

Additional information. [Optional]

IK's Mid Cap funds take majority stakes in companies with enterprise values of between €100 million and €500 million, initially investing equity ranging between €60 million and €200 million.

IK's Small Cap funds make controlling investments in companies with enterprise values up to €125 million, investing initial equity of up to €60 million.

IK's Partnership Fund takes minority stakes in established companies with an enterprise value above €200 million.



IK Investment Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	

SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following				
☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments					
	stment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account				
	zon of your investment				
	nce structure of organisational ESG responsibilities				
☑ ESG inco	rporation approaches				
	nership approaches				
☑ Climate c	hange				
☐ Understar	nding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences				
☐ Other RI	considerations, specify (1)				
☐ Other RI	considerations, specify (2)				



SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Responsible investment (RI) is integral to IK's business philosophy. We strive constantly to institute and maintain high standards of governance and business ethics within IK and our portfolio companies. Working with management teams, we help them recognise and manage the opportunities and risks associated with ESG factors. IK's RI commitments are based on the following principles:

- Respect for the internationally proclaimed human rights principles
- Equal opportunity independent of gender, race or religion
- Freedom of association and the right to bargain collectively
- Working conditions that surpass basic health and safety standards
- The conduct of good governance practices, in particular in relation to bribery and conflicts of interest
- Environmental responsibility

Identifying ESG-related risks and opportunities is an integral part of the due diligence (DD) process, to which every potential investment is subject. In addition to reviewing the financial data of a company, an analysis of ESG risks and opportunities is also included in the DD process, as IK believes these can have a significant impact on investment performance.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

As a responsible investor, IK commits to the following:

- Integrate IK principles into our decision-making process, by carefully considering ESG issues associated with any potential investment during the due diligence phase
- Encourage portfolio companies to follow IK principles by implementing governance structures that provide appropriate levels of oversight and by seeking disclosure on ESG issues
- Provide ESG training and support to IK employees involved in the investment process, so that they may perform their work in accordance with IK principles and the RI Policy
- Seek to be transparent in its efforts to integrate ESG considerations in investments and annually report on its progress towards implementing IK principles
- Comply with national and other applicable laws
- Help promote the implementation of IK principles throughout the private equity industry
- Consider our alignment with other related conventions and standards set by Invest Europe, the UN Global Compact (UNGC) Initiative and the UN Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI)
- Continuously strive to improve ESG performance within IK and our portfolio companies

O No

SG 01.6

Additional information [Optional].

IK's RI Policy applies to all investments considered or made by IK Funds. The Policy, which was implemented in October 2012, is reviewed on an annual basis and publicly available at http://www.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/ik_ri_policy_2020.pdf.

SG 01 CC Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
--	--------	-------------	---------



SG 01.6 CC Indicate whether your organisation has identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and factored this into the investment strategies and products, within the organisation's investment time horizon.

O Yes

No

Describe why your organisation has not yet gone through a process to identify transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities.

At IK, we believe that climate change is a significant challenge to the global economy, society and ecosystems. Therefore, in 2019, we started work on a climate change tool that will enhance our ability to assess different aspects of climate change-related risks of potential investments. Currently, transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities are identified and addressed in the same manner as other ESG risks. Together with other environmental ESG considerations, climate-related factors are integrated throughout the investment lifecycle.

SG 01.8 CC

Indicate whether the organisation publicly supports the TCFD?

O Yes

No

Explain the rationale

At IK, we believe that climate change is an important issue facing both our businesses and the community. However, we have not taken steps to publicly support the TCFD. ESG considerations are integrated throughout the investment lifecycle, which also includes the integration of climate-related factors. Our climate-related initiatives are included in our annual Communication on Progress (CoP) report as part of the UNGC signature.

SG 01.9 CC Indicate whether there is an organisation-wide strategy in place to identify and manage material climate-related risks and opportunities.

Yes

Describe

Climate change is part of our RI Policy. As part of our responsible investment process, we identify ESG risks and opportunities, including climate-related risks and opportunities. In addition, IK measures our GHG (Greenhouse Gas) emissions at a company level on an annual basis as our commitment to address climate change.

O No



SG 1.10 CC	Indicate the documents and/or com	nmunications the	e organisation uses to publish TCFD	disclosures.
☐ Public P	RI Climate Transparency Report			
☐ Annual f	nancial filings			
_	client reporting			
	communications			
Other				
	specify			
	hes an annual UN Global Compact repon the climate-related indicators throug		overall support for climate change. In	(reports
□ We curre	ently do not publish TCFD disclosures			
Ma	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
SG 02.1 ☑ Policy se	and an attachment of the document		s (if any) are publicly available. Prov	
	URL/Attachment			
☑ UR	L			
	URL			
http	://www.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/	ik ri policy 202	<u>20.pdf</u>	
□ Atta	achment (will be made public)			
	ed guidelines on environmental factors	3		
	URL/Attachment			
☑ UR	L			
	URL			
http	://www.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/	ik_ri_policy_202		
□ Atta	achment (will be made public)			
	ed guidelines on social factors			



		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	http://ww	w.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/ik_ri_policy_2020.pdf
☑ F		ent (will be made public) uidelines on corporate governance factors
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	http://ww	w.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/ik_ri_policy_2020.pdf
	☐ Attachme	ent (will be made public)
☑ S	creening / ex	xclusions policy
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	http://ww	w.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/ik_ri_policy_2020.pdf
		ent (will be made public)
	e do not pul	blicly disclose our investment policy documents
SG (dicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an tachment of the document.
✓Y	our organisa	ntion's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	http://www	
	nup.//ww	w.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/ik_ri_policy_2020.pdf
	☐ Attachme	
⊻ Y	our investme	ent objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account



URL/Attachment ☑ URL **URL** http://www.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/ik_ri_policy_2020.pdf ☐ Attachment ☑ Time horizon of your investment **URL/Attachment ☑** URL URL http://www.ikinvest.com/Responsibility/Integration-into-the-IK-Way/ ☐ Attachment ☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities **URL/Attachment ☑** URL URL http://www.ikinvest.com/Responsibility/Policy-governance-arrangements/ ☐ Attachment ☑ ESG incorporation approaches **URL/Attachment ☑** URL URL http://www.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/ik_ri_policy_2020.pdf □ Attachment ☑ Active ownership approaches **URL/Attachment ☑** URL



URL p://www.ikinvest.c

http://www.ikinvest.com/Responsibility/Integration-into-the-IK-Way/

☐ Attachment

☑ Reporting

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

http://www.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/communication-on-progress-2019-ik.pdf

☐ Attachment

☑ Climate change

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

http://www.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/ik_ri_policy_2020.pdf

☐ Attachment

 $\hfill \square$ We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3

Additional information [Optional].

IK implemented its RI Policy in October 2012. The Policy is reviewed on an annual basis, and it is publicly available on the website.

The RI Policy applies to all investments considered or made by IK Funds. IK's CEO, with the support of the partner group, owns the policy, and is responsible for overseeing its implementation. It is then the IK investment professionals' responsibility to ensure that decisions are taken after careful examination of ESG factors and that IK's RI principles are kept during the entire investment cycle. The effectiveness of the implementation of those principles is monitored through an annual review.

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes



SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

IK endeavours to avoid conflicts of interest in the investment process and has introduced a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest. We are aware that potential conflicts can arise between investors in different Funds, and between investors and the firm itself when investing and divesting.

IK's standard and robust investment and exit processes ensure that targets have been considered carefully before proceeding with a bid or declining, and that all exit strategies for portfolio companies are considered and reviewed before any decision is taken to start an exit process. IK can evidence reasoning and mitigation of the risk of conflicts in the investment process through the standard presentations and the decision-making process. Potential conflicts of interest will be dealt with by the IK Coordination Committees and can be elevated to the Advisory Committees as necessary. The Advisory Committees are comprised of investor representatives and other relevant persons. They meet periodically to review investment strategy and performance, review valuations and advise on any identified conflicts of interest. The advisory board makes decisions by a majority vote of those members present at a meeting.

 \bigcirc No

SG 04	Vol	untary	Public	Descriptive	General
SG 04	.1	Indicate if your organisation has a p investee entities.	process for identifyi	ng and managing incidents that c	occur within
● Yes○ No					
SG 04	.2	Describe your process on managinç	g incidents		

IK exercises active ownership, and works closely with the management teams of portfolio companies in order to improve ESG performance. IK's investment professionals devote a significant amount of their time to managing the current portfolio, both through their involvement on the boards of directors as well as through regular interaction with management. If a significant ESG-related incident was to take place at a portfolio company or IK, which would materially impact the value of the portfolio company, GP and/or LP, IK would notify the ESG Steering Committee. The ESG Steering Committee will have an understanding of how the incident is being dealt with, which then would be reported to Limited Partners Advisory Committee (within the boundaries of IK's as well as the respective portfolio company's legal agreements with other parties and regulatory or legislative restrictions).

Objectives and strategies						
05	Mai	ndatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General	
SG	05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your of investment activities.	organisation sets a	nd reviews objectives for its resp	oonsible	
0 (Quarterly or more frequently					
Biannually						
○ Annually						
\circ l	O Less frequently than annually					
○ Ad-hoc basis						
\circ I	t is not s	et/reviewed				



SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

IK has formed the IK ESG Steering Committee to acknowledge the importance of responsible investment within IK and across its portfolio companies. It provides support, guidance and oversight of IK's ESG progress and decides on ESG initiatives. The Committee consists of IK Partners both from Small and Mid Cap funds, including the CEO and the ESG team. In 2018, IK extended the Committee to non-partners to include support staff from IK. In 2019, the Committee has been further extended to include more members of our operations and investment teams. This allows us to further enhance our engagement with our portfolio companies on ESG initiatives.

Meetings are held on a biannual basis.

SG 06 Voluntary Public Descriptive General

SG 06.1

List the main responsible investment objectives that your organisation set for the reporting year.

Responsible investment processes

☑ Provide training on ESG incorporation

Key performance indicator

Annual delivery of ESG training

Progress achieved

Ultimately it is the IK investment professionals' responsibility to ensure that ESG factors are covered in the due diligence process as well as during the lifetime of the investment in the IK funds' portfolios. For that reason, IK provides ESG training, tools and support to the investment teams so that they may perform their work in accordance with IK's responsible investment commitment.

IK provides ESG training on an annual basis to all IK investment professionals. As of example, the IK ESG team provided training on the IK ESG Manual to all investment professionals and partners in January 2019.

☑ Provide training on ESG engagement

Key performance indicator

Structured introduction to ESG

Progress achieved

All new joiners complete a structured introduction programme, which includes a separate session on ESG. The ESG session includes the following elements:

- Introduction to IK's RI Policy and Code of Ethics
- An overview of ESG factors
- Presentation of IK's ESG manual which describes in detail IK's commitment to ESG and what the investment professionals are expected to do in each phase of the investment cycle

The session also provides the investment professionals with a deeper understanding of why IK thinks it is important to spend time, money and resources on ESG as well as a short presentation of IKARE, IK's venture philanthropy arm.

In 2019, 100% of new investment professionals participated in an ESG introduction session.



Key performance indicator
ESG team participation at IK's Business Development Meetings (BDM)
Progress achieved
Each of IK's fund strategies hold a bi-weekly Business Development Meeting (BDM) to discuss new investment opportunities. The purpose of the meeting is to ensure that investment teams can benefit from the collective experience of the entire platform, including IK's ESG professionals. The ESG team utilises these meetings to provide an update on IK's ESG activities, for example, updates on IK's commitment to UN PRI and UNGC, as well as to contribute with their ESG expertise when evaluating potential new investments
☑ Improved engagement to encourage change with regards to management of ESG issues
Key performance indicator
ESG reviews commissioned for new investments
Progress achieved
IK commissioned an independent ESG review for all investments made in 2019.
☑ Improved ESG incorporation into investment decision making processes
✓ Improved ESG incorporation into investment decision making processes Key performance indicator
Key performance indicator % of Investment Memorandums presented to the Investment Committee (IC) which included an ESG
Key performance indicator % of Investment Memorandums presented to the Investment Committee (IC) which included an ESG assessment
Key performance indicator % of Investment Memorandums presented to the Investment Committee (IC) which included an ESG assessment Progress achieved Out of the investments made by IK Funds in 2019, 86% of the Investment Memorandums (IM) presented to the IC contained an ESG assessment (6 out of 7 PCs). For 2020, we aim for 100% of the IMs containing an
Key performance indicator % of Investment Memorandums presented to the Investment Committee (IC) which included an ESG assessment Progress achieved Out of the investments made by IK Funds in 2019, 86% of the Investment Memorandums (IM) presented to the IC contained an ESG assessment (6 out of 7 PCs). For 2020, we aim for 100% of the IMs containing an ESG assessment.
Key performance indicator % of Investment Memorandums presented to the Investment Committee (IC) which included an ESG assessment Progress achieved Out of the investments made by IK Funds in 2019, 86% of the Investment Memorandums (IM) presented to the IC contained an ESG assessment (6 out of 7 PCs). For 2020, we aim for 100% of the IMs containing an ESG assessment.

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Increase portfolio performance by consideration of ESG factors

Key performance indicator

% of annual portfolio company reviews which included an ESG update



All portfolio companies are subject to an in-depth annual review in March. In addition to a thorough evaluation and assessment of management, performance plan, liquidity potential and strategy, the annual review also includes an update on ESG progress. The annual reviews are an important tool to monitor ESG performance. For the reviews relating to 2019, 100% of the annual reviews contained an ESG section.
☐ Other, specify (1)
☐ Other, specify (2)
☐ Other, specify (3)
□ None of the above
ESG characteristics of investments
☑ Over or underweight companies based on ESG characteristics
Key performance indicator
Tracking of ESG performance via Preqin (now Dynamo)
Progress achieved
IK enables each portfolio company to maintain, track and record its own sustainability performance (such as ESG-related KPIs) through Preqin (now Dynamo), a system for portfolio management combining financial and non-financial data. If the portfolio company has not historically tracked ESG-related KPIs, such will be suggested by external advisors in conjunction with the ESG review process. Through Dynamo, IK can also analyse ESG performance on a fund-level basis by mapping exposure to different ESG factors (risks and opportunities) and following up on inherent vs. residual ESG risks.
☑ Improve ESG ratings of portfolio
Key performance indicator
Tracking of ESG performance via Preqin (now Dynamo)
Progress achieved
Please see section on "Over or underweight companies based on ESG characteristics" for more information.
☐ Setting carbon reduction targets for portfolio
☐ Other, specify (1)

Other activities

□ Other, specify (2)□ Other, specify (3)□ None of the above

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Joining and/or participation in RI initiatives



Key performance indicator

Membership of RI forums

Progress achieved

IK is a member of Invest Europe's RI roundtable (core and affiliate networks) as well as the Swedish RI forum and ESG Live (organised by Charterhouse).

☑ Encouraging others to join a RI initiative

Key performance indicator

Encouraging others to join a RI initiative

Progress achieved

IK encourages its portfolio companies to sign up to the UNGC initiative.

For example, during 2019 one of our portfolio companies in fund IK VIII Fund initiated preparations for the B Corp status. IK has also actively participated in the discussions of starting a UK network related to the French initiative IC20 (now ICI).

☑ Documentation of best practice case studies

Key performance indicator

ESG case studies produced

Progress achieved

Once an investment is divested, IK's ESG team strives to assess the ESG-related contribution to value creation throughout the active ownership phase. The original ESG review serves as a baseline against which the ESG performance is analysed, and a summary which identifies 'lessons learnt' in relation to ESG performance during the lifespan of the investment is reviewed by the IK investment professionals.

IK also conducts case studies during the active ownership period (which are shared in the Communication on Progress, valuation reports as well as the ESG manual) in order to share and promote best practice across the funds.

 $\hfill \square$ Using case studies to demonstrate engagement and ESG incorporation to clients

☑ Other, specify (1)

other description (1)

GHG emissions reporting

Key performance indicator

Measurement of IK's GHG emissions on an annual basis



			Progress achieved			
	20	019 wa	s the third year IK calculated its GHC	emissions (FY18,	Scope 2 and 3).	
		2.1	" (0)			
			pecify (2)			
		Other, s	pecify (3)			
	\square N	lone of	the above			
	Gove	rnance	e and human resources			
SG 0	7	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	General
	SG 07.	1	Indicate the internal and/or external			ch whether
			they have oversight and/or impleme	entation responsibil	ities for responsible investment.	
			Roles			
	☑ Board members or trustees					
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment						
	☐ Implementation of responsible investment					
		□No	oversight/accountability or implemen	tation responsibilit	y for responsible investment	
	☑ Ir	nternal	Roles (triggers other options)			

Select from the below internal roles

	$\ensuremath{\square}$ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
	☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
	☐ Implementation of responsible investment
	$\hfill \square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
	☐ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
	☑ Portfolio managers
	☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
	☑ Implementation of responsible investment
	$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
	☑ Investment analysts
	☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
	☑ Implementation of responsible investment
	$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
	☑ Dedicated responsible investment staff
	☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
	☑ Implementation of responsible investment
	$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
	☑ Investor relations
	☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
	☐ Implementation of responsible investment
	$\hfill\square$ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
	☐ Other role, specify (1)
	☐ Other role, specify (2)
☑ E	External managers or service providers
	☐ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
	☐ Implementation of responsible investment
	☑ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

IK ESG Steering Committee

The IK ESG Steering Committee consists of IK Partners from both Small and Mid Cap funds, including the CEO, as well as the ESG team, investment professionals, operations team and support staff representatives. The Committee was formed to acknowledge the importance of responsible investment within IK and across its portfolio companies. Meetings are held on a biannual basis.

Audit & ESG Committee

During the first 100 days of ownership, we ask each portfolio company when feasible to form an 'Audit & ESG Committee', which reports to the Board of Directors. The Committee is charged with ensuring that the company has a robust ESG plan and then monitoring the implementation of that plan on an annual basis. The Committee will review the company's key ESG activities and achievements, and report its findings to the Board in conjunction with the annual review process.

IK Investment Professionals

The IK investment professionals are responsible for ensuring that ESG factors are covered in the due diligence process as well as during the lifetime of the investment in the IK Fund's portfolios.



SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
	Number
2	
SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]

IK's dedicated ESG team

IK has a dedicated ESG team with senior-level involvement, responsible for promoting ESG and RI awareness internally, as well as engaging with external stakeholders on ESG and RI matters. The team, which is led by Mads Ryum Larsen, Partner and Head of IR and ESG, also includes Mikaela Murekian, IK's Director of Communications & ESG, and Jovana Stopic, IK's ESG Coordinator. Anne Holm Rannaleet, Senior Advisor to IK, is also an important resource in terms of ESG. IK's ESG team provides ESG training, tools, and support to the investment teams so that they may perform their work in accordance with IK's responsible investment commitment.

SG 0	7 CC	Mand Disclo	atory to Report Voluntary to ose	Public	Descriptive	General
	SG 07 CC		Indicate the roles in the organisatio responsibilities for climate-related is		ght, accountability and/or manage	ment
			Board members or trustees			
			rsight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
		□ Asse	essment and management of climat	e-related issues		
		□ No r	esponsibility for climate-related issu	ies		
			Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Investment Committee	Chief Investment (Officer (CIO), Chief Risk Officer (C	CRO),
			rsight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
		☐ Asse	essment and management of climat	e-related issues		
		□ No r	esponsibility for climate-related issu	ies		
			Portfolio managers			
		□ Ove	rsight/accountability for climate-rela	ted issues		
		☑ Asse	essment and management of climat	e-related issues		
		□ No r	esponsibility for climate-related issu	ies		



lı	nvestment analysts
	/accountability for climate-related issues
☑ Assessment	ent and management of climate-related issues
☐ No respon	nsibility for climate-related issues
	Dedicated responsible investment staff
	/accountability for climate-related issues
☑ Assessment	ent and management of climate-related issues
☐ No respoi	nsibility for climate-related issues
li	nvestor relations
	/accountability for climate-related issues
☐ Assessme	ent and management of climate-related issues
☐ No respoi	nsibility for climate-related issues
E	External managers or service providers
☐ Oversight	/accountability for climate-related issues
□	ent and management of climate-related issues
□ ASSESSIII	· ·
	nsibility for climate-related issues

SG 07.6

For board-level roles that have climate-related issue oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how these responsibilities are executed.

Climate change is explicitly mentioned in IK's RI policy (which is fully integrated into IK's investment process) and part of IK's definition of ESG (which is frequently used to raise awareness internally). The RI Policy applies to all investments made by IK Funds. IK's CEO, with the support of the partner group, owns the policy, and is responsible for overseeing its implementation.

Prior to any investment decision, a detailed presentation, covering financial and non-financial information is submitted to the Investment Committee. ESG is a mandatory part of the Investment Committee memorandum, and consists of i) ESG risks screening, which screens for inherent sector-specific risks, and ii) ESG summary assessment confirming DD findings. As part of the ESG review, which identifies ESG risks and opportunities of material character as well as appropriate ESG-related KPIs, each portfolio company completes IK's Responsible Investment (RI) questionnaire, which is then presented to the external consultant and forms the basis of a discussion between IK, the portfolio company and external consultant. The RI questionnaire revolves around areas such as environmental, social, governance, including KPIs, policies and standards adopted. The questionnaire captures the information regarding the board level roles and allocated responsibilities for ESG matters.

The findings of the ESG review are shared with the Board of Directors of the portfolio company and the IK deal team. IK asks portfolio companies to form an 'Audit & ESG Committee' which reports to the Board of Directors. The Committee is charged with ensuring that the company has a robust ESG plan and then monitoring the implementation of that plan on an annual basis.

SG 08 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed General

SG 08.1

Indicate if your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development



	Board members/Board of trustees
SG 08.1b	RI in personal development and/or training plan
☐ Respo	nsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
☑ None	of the above
	Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
SG 08.1a	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward
☐ Respo	nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
☐ Respo	nsible investment included in appraisal process
□ Variab	le pay linked to responsible investment performance
✓ None	of the above
SG 08.1b	RI in personal development and/or training plan
☐ Respo	nsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
✓ None	of the above
	Portfolio managers
SG 08 1a	
SG 08.1a	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward
☐ Respo	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
□ Respo	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process
□ Respo □ Respo □ Variab	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance
□ Respo □ Respo □ Variab	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process
□ Respo □ Respo □ Variab	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance of the above
☐ Respo ☐ Respo ☐ Variab ☑ None	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance of the above
☐ Respo ☐ Respo ☐ Variab ☑ None o SG 08.1b ☑ Respo	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance of the above RI in personal development and/or training plan
☐ Respo ☐ Respo ☐ Variab ☑ None o SG 08.1b ☑ Respo	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance of the above RI in personal development and/or training plan nsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan of the above
☐ Respo ☐ Respo ☐ Variab ☑ None o SG 08.1b ☑ Respo	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance of the above RI in personal development and/or training plan nsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
☐ Respo ☐ Respo ☐ Variab ☑ None o SG 08.1b ☑ Respo	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance of the above RI in personal development and/or training plan nsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan of the above Investment analysts
□ Respo □ Respo □ Variab ☑ None o SG 08.1b ☑ Respo □ None o	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance of the above RI in personal development and/or training plan nsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan of the above Investment analysts
□ Respo □ Respo □ Variab □ None o SG 08.1b □ Respo □ None o	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward nsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives nsible investment included in appraisal process le pay linked to responsible investment performance of the above RI in personal development and/or training plan nsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan of the above Investment analysts RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward



 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ None of the above

SG 08.1	RI in personal development and/or training plan
	oonsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
	Dedicated responsible investment staff
SG 08.1	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward
☐ Resp	consible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
	consible investment included in appraisal process
□ Varia	able pay linked to responsible investment performance
□ None	e of the above
SG 08.1	RI in personal development and/or training plan
☑ Resp	ponsible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
□ None	e of the above
	Investor relations
SG 08.1	RI in objectives, appraisal and/or reward
□ Resp	ponsible investment KPIs and/or goals included in objectives
□ Resp	ponsible investment included in appraisal process
☐ Varia	able pay linked to responsible investment performance
☑ None	e of the above
SG 08.1	RI in personal development and/or training plan
☑ Resp	consible investment included in personal development and/or training plan
□ None	e of the above
SG 08.3	Provide any additional information on your organisation's performance management, reward and/or personal development processes in relation to responsible investment.

IK provides ESG training on an annual basis to all IK investment professionals.

Promoting responsible investment SG 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4,5 SG 09.1 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.



Select all that apply

 $\ \ \square$ Principles for Responsible Investment

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☐ Basic	
	rate
☐ Advar	nced
	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
the work on T	signatory to the UN PRI in 2017, and this is the third report. During the year, IK has participated in TCFD (Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures) guidance for private equity GPs, the UN PRI.
☐ Asian Corpor	rate Governance Association
☐ Australian Co	ouncil of Superannuation Investors
☐ AVCA: Susta	inability Committee
☐ France Inves	t – La Commission ESG
□ BVCA – Resp	consible Investment Advisory Board
☐ CDP Climate	Change
☐ CDP Forests	
☐ CDP Water	
☐ CFA Institute	Centre for Financial Market Integrity
☐ Climate Actio	in 100+
☐ Code for Res	sponsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
☐ Council of Ins	stitutional Investors (CII)
☐ Eumedion	
☐ Extractive Inc	dustries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
☐ ESG Researd	ch Australia
☑ Invest Europe	e Responsible Investment Roundtable
	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☐ Basic	
	rate
☐ Advar	nced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

IK is an active member of Invest Europe's RI roundtable (both core and affiliate networks).

☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
☐ Green Bond Principles
☐ HKVCA: ESG Committee
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
☐ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
☐ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
☐ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
☐ Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
☐ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
☐ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
☐ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
☑ United Nations Global Compact
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
IK is a signatory to the UN Global Compact Initiative. IK publishes the Communication on Progress Report on an annual basis, and our fourth annual report is available on IK's website. IK applies the ten principles of the initiative to map ESG issues.
✓ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
Swedish PI/ESG Forum



	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)						
		☑ Ba	ısic				
		□Мо	oderate				
		□ Ac	lvanced				
		Other coll	aborative orga	anisation/initiative, spec	cify		
		Other coll	aborative orga	anisation/initiative, spec	cify		
		Other coll	aborative orga	anisation/initiative, spec	cify		
SG 10	0	Man	datory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
	SG	10.1	Indicate if y initiatives.	our organisation promo	ites responsible	e investment, independently of collab	oorative
	•	Yes					
Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investing independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.				vide a description of your role in con			
		educati		may be for clients, inve		s (this includes peer to peer RI suppo ers, actuaries, broker/dealers, invest	
		□ Provi	ided financial	support for academic o	r industry resea	arch on responsible investment	
		□ Provi	ided input and	l/or collaborated with a	cademia on RI	related work	
		☐ Enco		transparency and disc	losure of respo	nsible investment practices across the	he investment
		☑ Spok	e publicly at e	events and conferences	s to promote res	sponsible investment	
			De	scription			
		exam	ple, Mikaela I	Murekian, IK Communi	cations and ES	s and seminars related to RI/ESG m G Director was ESG panelist speake eturn CFO/COO Forum 2019 in Ams	er at
			Fre	equency of contribution			
		0	Quarterly or n	nore frequently			
		0	Biannually				
		0	Annually				
		0	Less frequent	ly than annually			
		•	Ad hoc				
		0	Other				
		□ Wrot	e and publish	ed in-house research p	apers on respo	onsible investment	
		□ Enco	ouraged the ac	doption of the PRI			
		✓ Resn	onded to RLr	elated consultations by	non-governme	ental organisations (OECD, ESB etc.))



	Description						
Please see S	SD 09.1						
	Frequency of contribution						
	ly or more frequently						
○ Biannua	,						
○ Annuall							
Less frequently than annually							
○ Ad hoc							
Other							
	specify						
Particin	ated in the PwC private equity	/ SUIVEV					
•	ublished articles on responsib	-	e media				
	f PRI advisory committees/ wo						
	d of, or officially advising, othe						
☐ Other, specif		~	· -				
○ No	-						
SG 11 Voluntary		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 4,5,6			
			ration with others - conducted dial sible investment in the reporting				
Yes							
If	yes						
☐ Yes, individu	ıally						
	boration with others						
SG 11.2	Select the methods you have ι	used.					
☐ Endorsed wr	ritten submissions to governm	ents, regulators or	public policy-makers developed b	y others			
☐ Drafted your	own written submissions to g	overnments, regula	ators or public-policy markers				
☐ Participated	in face-to-face meetings with	government memb	ers or officials to discuss policy				
	fy						

We provided input on legislation drafts through Invest Europe's Ri roundtable.



SG 11.3

Where you have made written submissions (individually or collaboratively) to governments and regulatory authorities, indicate if these are publicly available.

 $\bigcirc \ \text{Yes, publicly available}$

No

 \bigcirc No

	Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants								
SG 12	2	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4				
r	New sele	ction options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.							
	SG 12.	Indicate whether your organisation	uses investment c	onsultants.					
	□ Yes,	we use investment consultants							
	☑ No,	we do not use investment consultants.							
	ESG is	ssues in asset allocation							
SG 13	3	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1				
	SG 13.	Indicate whether the organisation of provide a description of the scenar etc.).							
	□ Yes,	in order to assess future ESG factors							
	□ Yes,	in order to assess future climate-related r	isks and opportunit	ies					
	☑ No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling								
SG 14	ı	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1				
	Some investment risks and opportunities arise as a result of long term trends. Indicate which of the following are considered.								
	☑ Cha	nging demographics							
	☑ Clim	ate change							
	☑ Res	ource scarcity							
	☑ Tech	nnological developments							
		er, specify(1)							
		er, specify(2)							
	☐ Non	e of the above							



SG 14.2	Indicate which of the following activ opportunity	ities you have und	lertaken to respond to climate change risk and		
☐ Establish	ed a climate change sensitive or clima	ite change integrat	red asset allocation strategy		
☐ Targeted	low carbon or climate resilient investm	nents			
☐ Phase ou	t your investments in your fossil fuel h	oldings			
Reduced	portfolio exposure to emissions intens	sive or fossil fuel ho	oldings		
☐ Used em	ssions data or analysis to inform inves	stment decision ma	aking		
☐ Sought cl	imate change integration by companie	es			
☐ Sought cl	imate supportive policy from governme	ents			
☐ Other, sp	ecify				
✓ None of t	he above				
	Please explain why not				
	Indicate which of the following tools	the organization (uses to manage climate-related risks and		
SG 14.3	opportunities.	s trie organisation o	uses to manage climate-related risks and		
□ Scenario	analysis				
☐ Disclosur	es on emissions risks to clients/trustee	es/management/be	eneficiaries		
☐ Climate-r	elated targets				
☑ Encouraç	ing internal and/or external portfolio m	nanagers to monito	or emissions risks		
□ Emission	s-risk monitoring and reporting are for	malised into contra	acts when appointing managers		
☐ Weighted	average carbon intensity				
☐ Carbon fo	potprint (scope 1 and 2)				
□ Portfolio	carbon footprint				
☐ Total carbon emissions					
□ Carbon intensity					
☐ Carbon ir	ntensity				
	ntensity to carbon-related assets				
☐ Exposure	•				
☐ Exposure	to carbon-related assets issions metrics				
□ Exposure□ Other em	to carbon-related assets issions metrics ecify				



SG 14.8 CC Indicate whether climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management and explain the risk management processes used for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks.

Processes for climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management

Please describe

We adopt a similar process to identify climate-related risks, as to any other ESG-related risks and opportunities. Our internal ESG-related tools and an independent ESG review by external advisers identify, assess and provide recommendations to IK on how to manage identified climate-related risks. Following acquisition, we engage with investee companies through an ESG review to identify ESG risks and opportunities of material character. The purpose of the ESG review is to understand current attitudes and consequent actions of portfolio company boards and management teams related to ESG factors, as well as to make high level recommendations to the companies from quick wins, through tactical activities to strategic actions, with the aim of creating or protecting shareholder value.

O Processes for climate-related risks are not integrated into overall risk management

SG 15		Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose		Public	Descriptive	PRI 1	
	SG 15.1		Indicate if your organisation allocates assets to, or manages, funds based on specific environmental and social themed areas.				

O Yes

No

Innovation

SG 18	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

SG 18.1

Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

Yes

SG 18.2

Describe any specific features of your approach to responsible investment that you believe are particularly innovative.

Having accumulated 30 years' experience in the private equity market, IK knows that strong environmental, social and governance practices correlate positively with enhanced financial performance within portfolio companies as well as enrich society more broadly. As such, responsible investment is integral to IK's business philosophy.

We believe that IK's approach to monitoring portfolio company ESG performance is particularly innovative. IK enables each portfolio company to maintain, track and record its own sustainability performance (such as ESG-related KPIs) through Preqin (now Dynamo), a system for portfolio management combining financial and non-financial data. IK helped Preqin (then Baxon) develop the ESG module during 2016/17. Through Dynamo, IK can analyse ESG performance both on a fund-level basis by mapping exposure to different ESG risks and opportunities as well as on an individual portfolio company level.

At IK, we have an engagement platform to facilitate knowledge-sharing between portfolio companies, IK's investment professionals and IK ESG team. IK seeks to actively engage with portfolio companies to manage ESG risks and opportunities and promote the importance of sustainability. Sustainability implies environmental and social change, and as a responsible investor, we make a contribution by sharing our insights with our



portfolio companies. IK's ESG team seeks to communicate with companies in a constructive manner, with the aim to improve ESG performance and create or protect sustainable value. When developing an ESG-related policy, or looking to engage collaboratively with ESG-related issues, for example, measuring a carbon footprint or reporting externally on sustainability performance, IK's ESG team can offer support.

 \bigcirc No

Communication **Public Core Assessed** PRI 2, 6 **SG 19 Mandatory** Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to SG 19.1 the public information. Private equity Do you disclose? O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public. $\ \bigcirc$ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only. We disclose to the public The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same Yes \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
☑ ESG information in relationship to our pre-investment activities
☑ ESG information in relationship to our post-investment monitoring and ownership activities
☑ Information on our portfolio companies' ESG performance
□ Other
Frequency
☐ Quarterly or more frequently
□ Biannually
☑ Annually
☐ Less frequently than annually
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested
URL
http://www.ikinvest.com/globalassets/puff/communication-on-progress-2019-ik.pdf
URL
http://www.ikinvest.com/Responsibility/Integration-into-the-IK-Way/

SG 19.2 Additional information [Optional]

As a signatory to the UNGC, IK publishes an annual CoP report. The latest CoP report is available on IK's website. The report includes both ESG information in relation to IK's pre-investment and active ownership activities as well as information on the portfolio companies' ESG performance (based on the annual ESG data request).

IK's 2018 Public UN PRI Transparency report is also available on IK's website, as well as the 2018 IK Carbon Footprint Report.

IK's website also contains a Responsibility section describing IK's ESG activities during the full investment cycle.



IK Investment Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Direct – Private Equity

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

PE 01 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 1-6

PE 01.1

Provide a brief overview of your organisation's approach to responsible investment in private equity.

Having accumulated 30 years' experience in the private equity market, IK knows that strong environmental, social and governance practices correlate positively with enhanced financial performance within portfolio companies as well as enrich society more broadly.

We strive to create sustainable value for all IK stakeholders by instituting and maintaining high standards of governance and business ethics within the Firm, IK funds and portfolio companies, as described in IK Investment Partners' RI Policy and Code of Ethics. Working with management teams, we help portfolio companies recognise and manage the opportunities and risks associated with ESG factors. IK ensures a structured approach to investment from the moment we consider a potential investment to the point at which we invest. ESG is embedded into every stage of the investment process.

PE 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

PE 02.1

Indicate whether your organisation's investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy / follow responsible investment guidelines.

Our investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy

PE 02.2

Describe how your organisation outlines expectations on staff and portfolio companies' approach towards ESG issues in investment activities.

IK developed the ESG Manual for investment professionals, which provides guidelines on ESG integration and strengthens IK's internal processes further. IK extended the ESG Manual to portfolio companies, which provides an overview of the ESG process and available ESG support at IK.

In 2018, IK introduced the Ethical Guidelines for Portfolio Companies, which outline the standards of ethical conduct for all portfolio companies. The Guidelines describe IK's fundamental principles, which are based on internationally proclaimed UNGC principles within the areas of human rights, labour rights, environment and corruption. The Guidelines should be implemented by all portfolio companies and serve as a reference for business conduct.

- Our investment activities are not guided by a responsible investment policy
- O We do not have a responsible investment policy

_				
Func	iraisina	of priva	te equity:	tunds

PE 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1,4,6



PE 03.1

Indicate if your most recent fund placement documents (private placement memorandums (PPM) or similar) refer to responsible investment.

Yes

PE 03.2

Indicate how your most recent fund placement documents (PPM or similar) refer to the following responsible investment:

- ☑ Policy and commitment to responsible investment
- ☑ Approach to ESG issues in pre-investment processes
- ☑ Approach to ESG issues in post-investment processes
- ☑ Approach to ESG reporting

PE 03.3

Describe how your organisation refers to responsible investment in fund placement documents (PPMs or similar). [Optional]

IK defines its approach to responsible investment through its Private Placement Memorandum (PPM). In addition, responsible investment and ESG matters are included in the ILPA Due Diligence Questionnaire (ILPA DDQ), which forms part of the data room available to investors during fundraising. Additional documents also form part of the data room:

- Responsible Investment Policy
- · Code of Ethics
- Communication on Progress Report
- PRI Assessment Report
- PRI Transparency Report
- ESG Manual for IK Investment Professionals
- ESG Manual for Portfolio Companies
- Examples of ESG Review Reports
- \bigcirc No
- O Not applicable as our organisation does not fundraise

PE 04	Voluntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

PE 04.1

Indicate whether your organisation made formal commitments to responsible investment in the, Limited Partnership Agreement (LPA) of your most recent fund(s), or through side letters when requested by investors.

Yes

	☑ In LPA, incorporated in the original draft as standard procedure
	\square In LPA, as requested by investors
	☑ In side letter(s)
	□ Other
0	No



PE 04.2

Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

private equity investments.

IK has historically included formal ESG commitments in side letters. An example of such a commitment made for the IK VIII Fund was to confirm that the Fund operates in accordance with the UN Global Compact initiative and/or the PRI.

PE 05 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 1 During due-diligence indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting

Yes

PE 05.1

PE 05.2

Describe your organisation`s approach to incorporating ESG issues in private equity investment selection.

During the pre-investment phase, IK identifies ESG-related risks and opportunities, by screening for inherent sector-specific risks. Each investment opportunity is discussed at a bi-weekly Business Development Meeting, enabling the deal teams to benefit from the collective experience and expertise of the entire investment team. Members of IK's ESG team participate in the Business Development Meetings and provide their views and expertise regarding ESG factors.

Prior to any investment decision, a detailed presentation, covering areas such as market, financial modelling, value-creation plan, risk analysis, ESG, management assessment and exit analysis, is submitted to the Investment Committee. Depending on the materiality of the ESG risks identified, IK may choose not to pursue an investment opportunity or may embed corrective actions in the post-acquisition Full Potential Plan.

If it transpires during the pre-investment phase that a potential investment does not conform to IK's RI policy, the investment opportunity will not be pursued.

O No

PE 06	5	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,3
	PE 06.1		Indicate what type of ESG informat equity investment selection process		on typically considers during you	r private
	☑ Rav	v data	from target company			
	□ Ben	chma	rks against other companies			
	☑ Sec	tor lev	vel data/benchmarks			
	☑ Cou	intry le	evel data/benchmarks			
☑ Reporting standards, industry codes and certifications						
	☑ International initiatives, declarations or standards					
	☑ Engagements with stakeholders (e.g. customers and suppliers)					
	☑ Advice from external resources					
	☐ Oth	er, sp	ecify			
	□We	do no	t track this information			



PE 06.2

Describe how this information is reported to, considered and documented by the Investment Committee or similar.

ESG is a mandatory part of the Investment Committee Memorandum, and consists of two parts: i) ESG risk screening, and ii) ESG summary assessment confirming DD findings. A mandatory ESG risk screening and summary assessment of ESG factors relevant to the investment is part of the presentation and included in the template. IK's E&S Risk Assessment Tool helps the investment professionals to determine the potential need for specific ESG-related due diligence by screening for inherent sector-specific risks.

PE 07		Volunta	ry	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2
	PE 07.1		uring deal structuring,what is the pocumentation and/or the post-inve			nto the deal
	Yes					
			If yes			
	\checkmark	Formally/	through a post-investment action	plan or value enha	ncement plan	
		Verbally/	through dialogue			
		Other, sp	pecify			
	PE	07.2	Describe the nature of these in year	nprovements and p	rovide examples (if any) from the	reporting
						<u>-</u>

In the early days of IK's ownership, focus is on executing the Full Potential Plan (FPP), which includes a detailed and quantified analysis of the key value creation initiatives. Corrective actions related to any ESG risks of material character identified during the due diligence process may be included in the FPP. The details of the plan vary by portfolio company, but it always includes an independent ESG review which identifies ESG risks and opportunities of material character, as well as appropriate ESG-related KPIs. The purpose of the ESG review is:

- To understand current attitudes and consequent actions of portfolio company boards and management teams related to ESG factors
- To make high level recommendations for portfolio company actions, from quick wins, through tactical activities to strategic actions, with the aim of protecting or creating shareholder value
- To make recommendations to IK, including identifying those portfolio companies most likely to need IK's support in managing ESG factors
- To raise awareness of the importance of ESG factors in IK portfolio companies and their potential to affect shareholder value

ESG reviews provide a high-level analysis of individual portfolio companies' strengths and weaknesses from an ESG perspective.

 \bigcirc We do not set expectations for portfolio companies on ESG-related considerations

PE 08 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1



PE 08.1

Indicate how ESG issues impacted your private equity investment selection processes during the reporting year.

- ☑ ESG issues helped identify risks
- ☑ ESG issues helped identify opportunities for value creation.
- ☑ ESG issues led to the abandonment of potential investments.
- ☑ ESG issues were considered but did not have an impact on the investment selection process
- ☐ Other, specify
- \square We do not track this potential impact

PE 08.2

Indicate how ESG issues impacted your private equity investment deals during the reporting year.

- ☐ ESG issues impacted the investment in terms of price offered and/or paid
- ☑ ESG issues were included in the post-investment action plan/100-day plan
- ☐ ESG issues impacted the terms in the shareholder/purchase agreements and/or lending covenants
- ☑ ESG issues were considered but did not have an impact on the deal structuring process
- ☐ Other, specify
- ☐ We do not track this potential impact

Post-investment (monitoring)

PE 09

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

PE 09.1

Indicate whether your organisation incorporates ESG issues in investment monitoring of portfolio companies.

Yes

PE 09.2

Indicate the proportion of portfolio companies where your organisation included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

PE 09.3

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

ESG issues



☐ Environmental
☐ Social
☐ Governance
$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ We do not set and/or monitor against targets
○ No

PE 09.4

Additional information. [Optional]

IK does not set ESG-related KPIs on a fund level. If the portfolio company has not historically tracked ESG-related KPIs, these will be suggested by external advisors in conjunction with the ESG review process. IK believes successful practices depend on continuous improvement in combination with monitoring of results and acting when performance is insufficient. IK enables each portfolio company to maintain, track and record its own sustainability performance (such as ESG-related KPIs set on a portfolio company level) through Preqin (now Dynamo), a system for portfolio management combining financial and non-financial data.

PE 10 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

PE 10.1

Indicate if your organisation tracks the proportion of your portfolio companies that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).

Yes

PE 10.2 similar

Indicate what percentage of your portfolio companies has an ESG/sustainability policy (or similar guidelines).

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies</p>
- 0% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

 \bigcirc No

PE 10.3

Additional information. [Optional

64% of our portfolio companies have a Code of Conduct in place (based on the annual data request 2019), with many features which overlap with an ESG/sustainability policy. In addition, IK introduced the Ethical Guidelines to Portfolio Companies in 2018. The Guidelines outline the standards of ethical conduct for all portfolio companies and are based on the UN Global Compact Principles around human rights, labour rights, environment and corruption. It is the responsibility of the Company's management and board to ensure the principles are integrated and appropriately communicated throughout the company. IK investment professionals are continuously working on the uptake of the Ethical Guidelines with our portfolio companies.

PE 11 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

Types of actions taken by portfolio companies

☑ Allocate responsibility for ESG issues to board/senior management

Implemented by percentage of portfolio companies
○ >90% of portfolio companies
● 51-90% of portfolio companies
○ 10-50% of portfolio companies
○ <10% of portfolio companies
○ We do not track this information
(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)
☐ Composition of board ensure ESG expertise
☑ Consider ESG issues in risk management processes
Implemented by percentage of portfolio companies
● >90% of portfolio companies
○ 51-90% of portfolio companies
○ 10-50% of portfolio companies
○ <10% of portfolio companies
○ We do not track this information
(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)
☑ Define performance targets for applicable ESG issues in operations
Implemented by percentage of portfolio companies
● >90% of portfolio companies
○ 51-90% of portfolio companies
○ 10-50% of portfolio companies
○ <10% of portfolio companies
○ We do not track this information
(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)
☐ Identify and engage external parties or stakeholders that could add value or decrease risk through ESG issues
$\hfill\square$ Developing/implementing an environmental/social management system (ESMS) or similar
☐ Other actions, specify
□ None of the above



PE 11.2

Describe how your organisation contributes to the portfolio companies' resourcing and management of ESG issues.

IK has a dedicated ESG team with senior-level involvement, responsible for promoting ESG and responsible investment awareness internally, as well as engaging with external stakeholders on ESG matters. IK ESG team seeks to communicate with companies in a constructive manner, with the aim to improve ESG performance and create or protect sustainable value. IK's ESG team offers support with the following:

- The development and implementation of a sustainability strategy. This includes identifying material ESG factors and determine what actions need to be prioritised from a sustainable and commercial perspective, including ESG performance indicators
- Measuring carbon footprints and supporting in carbon footprint reporting
- When planning to report and communicate social and environmental performance and commitments to various stakeholders at every level such as customers, suppliers, employees and investors, we can advise on global frameworks (eg. GRI, UNGC)
- The development and implementation of ESG-related policies
- A network of local ESG experts, training and education

PE 12	Voluntary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2,3
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

PE 12.1

Indicate the type and frequency of reports you request and/or receive from portfolio companies covering ESG issues.

Type of reporting

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Overarching portfolio company reports (or similar) where management disclosure, financial and ESG data are integrated

Typical reporting frequency

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc/when requested, specify
- $\ensuremath{\,ullet}$ Standalone reports highlighting targets and/or KPIs covering ESG issues

Typical reporting frequency

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc/when requested, specify
- ☑ Other, specify

Typical reporting frequency



0	Quarterly or more frequently
0	Biannually
0	Annually
0	Less frequently than annually
•	Ad-hoc/when requested, specify

Audit & ESG Committee

☐ No reporting on ESG issues requested and/or provided by portfolio companies

PE 12.2

Describe what level of reporting you require from portfolio companies, and indicate what percentage of your assets are covered by ESG reporting.[OPTIONAL]

All portfolio companies are subject to an in-depth Annual Review, which includes evaluation and assessment of management, performance plan, liquidity potential and strategy and an ESG section. The ESG section is based on the material reported by the Audit & ESG Committee and/or Board of Directors.

In addition, IK sends out an annual request for ESG-related data to each portfolio company as part of the CoP report for the UNGC initiative.

PE 13 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

PE 13.1

Indicate whether during the reporting year your organisation disclosed information on ESG issues to potential buyers prior to exit for private equity investments.

- We included ESG issues in pre-exit information
- O We did not include ESG issues in pre-exit information
- O N/A, we did not have any exits in the reporting year

PE 13.2

Apart from disclosure, describe how your organisation considers ESG issues at exit.

Portfolio companies disclose ESG information through Dynamo (ex Preqin), which brings to life an integrated reporting view across the full investment cycle. Once an investment is divested, IK's ESG team strives to assess the ESG-related contribution to value creation throughout the ownership period. The original ESG review serves as a baseline against which the ESG performance is analysed, and a summary which identifies 'lessons learnt' in relation to ESG performance during the lifespan of the investment is agreed upon with the IK investment professionals.

Depending on the structure of the exit process and nature of the investment, IK provides potential buyers with ESG VDD. An environmental VDD study has been commissioned for the sale of CID LINES by the IK VII Fund in 2019. CID LINES is a leading developer and producer of cleaning agents and disinfectants for the agricultural, food, vehicle care, and institutional sectors.

Outputs and outcomes

PE 14 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 1,2

PE 14.1

Indicate whether your organisation measures how your approach to responsible investment in Private Equity investments has affected financial and/or ESG performance.

- $\hfill\square$ We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts the financial performance of investments
- ☑ We measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts the ESG performance of investments



Describe the impact on:	Impact
ESG performance of investments	Positive
	○ Negative
	O No impact

☐ None of the above

PE 14.2 Describe how you are able to determine these outcomes.

Currently, IK does not measure whether our approach to ESG issues impacts the financial performance of investments. We are continuously developing the ESG module together with Preqin (now Dynamo), but we have not yet identified a satisfying way to measure ESG progress in terms of financial value. Preqin, an online system, enables each portfolio company to submit their monthly financial report and KPIs, as well as measure year-on-year progress in terms of ESG policies implemented, and allows analysis of ESG-related risks and opportunities on an aggregated fund level.

PE 15	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1,2

PE 15.1

Provide examples of ESG issues that you identified in your potential and/or existing private equity investments during the reporting year.

☑ Add Example 1

Investment Stage	☐ Initial screening
	☐ Due diligence
	☐ Investment decision
	☑ Investment monitoring
	☐ Prior to exit
ESG issues	
	ESG issues
	☑ Environmental
	Lifecycle impacts of products and services
	□ Social
	☐ Governance
Sector(s)	Consumer
Impact (or potential impact) on the investment	The ESG review identified an opportunity for the company to differentiate itself by sustainable product offering to a growing number of customers who are increasingly focusing on reducing the environmental impacts of their products. Conversely, if the company was not to maintain its current focus on the lifecycle impacts of its products, it would be at risk of starting to slip behind some competitors and losing this competitive advantage.
Activities undertaken to influence the investment and its response	Since the acquisition, the company was supported in its efforts to continue the development of its sustainable product range. The company recently launched a new product enabling clients to offer their products in the market in recyclable, compostable, and food-safe packaging.

☑ Add Example 2



Investment Stage	☐ Initial screening
	☐ Due diligence
	☐ Investment decision
	☑ Investment monitoring
	☐ Prior to exit
ESG issues	
	ESG issues
	☐ Environmental
	☑ Social
	Diversity and inclusion
	☐ Governance
Sector(s)	Business services
Impact (or potential impact) on investment	The company has developed a geographical footprint across Europe, US and Asia and employs more than 700 people globally. The ESG review identified room for improvement in the area of diversity and inclusion.
Activities undertaken to influence the investment and its response	Since the acquisition, the company made tremendous progress in the area of diversity and inclusion. The executive team is 40% female and two business lines are headed by female executives, while 55.5% workforce is female. The company has continued to request recruitment agents to prioritise the best female candidates.
☐ Add Example 3	
☐ Add Example 4	
☐ Add Example 5	

PE 15.2 Describe how you define and evaluate the materiality of ESG factors.

IK portfolio companies are asked to focus on ESG factors with a direct or indirect impact on an organisation's ability to create, preserve or erode economic, environmental and social value for itself, its stakeholders and society at large.

Communication				
PE 16	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	PRI 6



PE 16.1

Describe your organisation's approach to disclosing ESG incidents in private equity investments to your investor clients (LPs).

If an ESG-related incident that would materially impact the value of the portfolio company, GP and/or LP were to take place, IK would notify the ESG Steering Committee. The ESG Steering Committee will have an understanding of how the incident is being dealt with, which then would be reported to Limited Partners Advisory Committee (within the boundaries of IK's as well as the respective portfolio company's legal agreements with other parties and regulatory or legislative restrictions). Depending on the issue, the format of communication would vary between written reports or verbal information.

In case of any uncertainty as to whether a portfolio-related ESG incident is of material character or not, the final decision rests with the IK partner responsible along with the ESG team.



IK Investment Partners

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Confidence building measures **Public** CM1 01 **Mandatory Additional Assessed** General Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this CM1 01.1 year has undergone: ☐ Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report ☐ Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year ☐ Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year) ☐ Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year) ☑ Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board) Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified O Selected data has been internally verified ☐ Other, specify ☐ None of the above CM1 02 **Mandatory Public Descriptive** General CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report O Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year O Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report • We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report O None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year. CM1 03 **Mandatory Public Descriptive** General We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI CM1 03.1 Transparency Report:

☑ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme



	CM1 03.2	Which scheme?			
	☐ National	SRI label based on the EUROSIF	F Transparency gu	iidelines	
☐ B-corporation ☐ UK Stewardship code					
□ GRESB					
		lity type label (e.g. BCI)			
☐ Social label ☐ Climate label					
□RIAA					
	Other				
		Specify			
	Invest Eu	urope's Handbook of Professional	l Standards		
		% of total AUM the schem	e applies		
	○ < 25	5%			
	○ 25-	50 %			
	○ 50-	70 %			
		%			
		independent/third party assurance are included in this year's PRI T			nability report)
	ESG audit of	holdings			
\checkmark	Other, specify				
	ESG Disclosu	re Framework for Private Equity			
	None of the a	bove			
CM1 04	Mandat	ory	Public	Descriptive	General
					11O
Ci	VI1 04.1 D	o you plan to conduct third party a	assurance or this y	rear's PRI Transparency re	port?
		ansparency Report will be assure	ed		
		will be assured			
•	We do not pla	n to assure this year`s PRI Trans	sparency report		
CM1 07	Mandat	ory	Public	Descriptive	General
CI		dicate who has reviewed/verified eport. and if this applies to select			
w	ho has condu	cted the verification			

☑ CEO or other Chief-Level staff



		Sign-off or review of responses			
	☑ Sign-off				
☑ Review of responses					
	☑ The Board				
		Sign-off or review of responses			
	☐ Sign-off				
	☑ Review company	of responses			
	□ Investment Committee				
V	☑ Compliance Function				
V	☑ RI/ESG Team				
□ Investment Teams					
	☐ Legal Department				
	☐ Other (specify)				